

# Impacts of Anthropogenic activities on the Habitats and Flora at the Coastal Nile Delta Mediterranean Region, Egypt

Elsayed M. Ali Nafea

Aquatic Environment Department, Faculty of Fish Resources, Suez University, Suez, Egypt

Contact details

elsayed.nafea@suezuniv.edu.eg

**Keywords:** salt marshes, sand dunes, endangered species, habitat degradation, sustainable management, Nile Delta.

## Abstract

This work aimed to assess the impacts of anthropogenic activities on the habitats and their flora at the coastal Delta region of Egypt. One hundred and thirty species of plants were found in four habitats: saltmarshes, small sand dunes, high dunes and new reclaimed sandy lands. The recorded species related to 92 genera and 37 families, classified in 71 annuals, 58 perennials and one biennial. Therophytes were the most represented life form (76 species = 58.46%), while phanerophytes were the lowest one (8 species = 6.15%). The study area is subjected to many threats and suffers from environmental degradation and fragmentation and may lose its diversity if the anthropic impact will persist. Accordingly more species became rare (f.i., *Pancratium maritimum* L., *Ammi visnaga* L. and *Asparagus stipularis* L.), while two species were endangered and disappeared from the study area (*Limoniastrum monopetalum* L. and *Ruppia maritima* L.). The restoration of wetlands seems dramatically urgent assuring a strategy of sustainable management.

## 1. Introduction

The Egyptian coasts that extend for 970 km along the Mediterranean Sea (the Nile Delta included ) and about (1100 km) in the Red Sea, have a high diversity of habitats including sand dunes, salt marshes, wetlands, new reclaimed sandy lands, fresh and saline waters (Shaltout and Al-Sodany 2002). The anthropogenic disturbance (habitat degradation, fragmentation and loss of species distribution) has been observed in the Mediterranean coastal region (El-Sadek and Ayyad 2000). This region is bordered by salt marshes and sand dunes of different natures (Batanouny 1999). About 75

% of these habitats have been disturbed due touristic facilities (summer resorts and logistic infrastructures) (Shaltout and Ahmed 2012). Human activities (f.i., residential, commercial development and tourism, aquacultures and agriculture, transportation, urban pollution) are the most threats affecting plant diversity (Burgman *et al.* 2007; Shaltout *et al.* 2009) especially in the Delta area (Raven 1971), impacting on plant diversity and increasing the extinction risk of the rare ones.

The present study aims to assess the impacts of anthropogenic activities on the habitats and flora in the Delta region with special references to the threatened species and habitats.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Study Area

The study area extends on 48 km from Gamasa in the east to Burg El-Burullus in the west (Fig. 1) along the Mediterranean Sea coast. Its width ranges between 300 m to 900 m at the sea side of the International Coastal Road. It is characterized by different habitats (salt marshes, low sand dunes, high dunes and new reclaimed sandy lands), which has its own vegetation type.

The region is characterized by a maritime climate with mild winters and hot summers. The annual rain ranges between 8.9 mm at Damietta and 14.6 mm at Baltim, while the annual mean temperature ranged between 20°C to 25 °C (Egyptian Meteorological Authority, 2017).



Fig. 1 - Location map for the study area after (EL-Bady 2016)

### 2.2. Soil analysis

Soil samples were collected from each stand as a profile (composite sample) at a depth 0-30 cm at the rhizosphere zone. The mechanical analysis for soil was by sieve method. Soil water extract of 1:5 was prepared for the determination of soil salinity (EC m mhos/second) as indication for salinity using conductivity meter and soil reaction pH using pH meter; chlorides were determined using direct titration. Bicarbonates and carbonates were also estimated by titration method. Calcium carbonate, organic carbon and sulfate were determined. All procedures were according to Piper (1945), Jackson (1962) and Allen et al. (1986).

### 2.3. Vegetation analysis

Ten study plots were selected to study the flora in each of the different habitats (salt marshes, small dunes, high dunes and new reclaimed sandy lands). The study plots area was 20×20 m in all habitats. The study extended from March 2017 to April 2018. During each field visit the study plots were surveyed and the follow-

ing data were recorded: species list, dominant species, total cover and % cover of each species. Identification and nomenclature of species were according to Bolus (1999, 2000, 2002, 2005 and 2009).

Life forms were identified following the system of Raunzier (1937). The actual and relative number of species belonging to each life form (i.e. biological spectrum) was calculated. The anthropogenic influences were determined and the impact of habitats disturbances and loss of the species and total flora were recorded and evaluated. Comparison between the last record (2002) and the new (2017-2018) one is presented in Table 2.

## 3. Results and discussion

The results of mean soil analysis (Table 1) showed that soil is mainly fine sandy soil with low porosity (28.8%), low water holding capacity (35.9%) and low moisture contents (6%). The pH values ranged between 5.7 and 9.1, while soil salinity ranged between 0.2 to 8.6 g/l. soil samples characterized by high CaCO<sub>3</sub> % contents ranged between 0.2 to 32.2 %. The change of soil characteristics is mainly due the human induced changes.

**Table 1** - The mean results of soil analyses of samples collected from four habitats at study area.

Parameters	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
<b>Physical characters</b>			
>2 mm	0.6	5	2.8
2-1 mm	2.2	8.3	5.25
1-0.5 mm	3.4	43.1	22.2
0.5-0.25 mm	30.2	52	41.1
0.25-0.125 mm	2.6	38.2	20.5
0.125-0.063 mm	0.38	12.22	6.49
<0.063 mm	0.11	5.13	2.62
Porosity	8	42	28.78
Water holding capacity	20	60	35.87
Moisture contents	0.16	34	6
<b>Chemical characters</b>			
pH	5.7	9.1	7.4
Electrical conductivity m mhos/second	200	8600	1263
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	0.2	32.2	12.94
Organic carbon %	0.1	2.9	1.5
SO <sub>4</sub> %	0.02	0.8	0.41
HCO <sub>3</sub> %	0.006	0.05	0.028
CO <sub>3</sub> %	0	0	0
Cl %	0.02	0.8	0.41
TSS %	0.1	1.9	1

**Table 2** - The percent cover of the recorded species and the life form spectrum. Life forms: Th=Therophytes, Ch=Chamaephytes, Ph=Phanerophytes, H=Hemicryptophytes, Cr= Cryptophytes, Life span: Ann= Annual, Per =Perennial and Bie = Biennial

Year of records	2017-2018			2002	Year of records	2017-2018			2002	
	Species	Life span	Life form	% cover		% cover	Species	Life span	Life form	% cover
	<i>Aegilopsbicornis</i> (Forssk.) Jaub and Speh	Ann	Th	60	70	<i>Echinocloa colona</i> (L.) Link.	Ann	Th	10	20
	<i>Aeluropus lagopoides</i> (L.) Trin and Thwaites	Per	H	50	65	<i>Echinops spinosus</i> Turra.	Per	H	60	70
	<i>Alhagi graecorum</i> Bioss.	Per	H	70	80	<i>Echium angustifolium</i> Mill.sub spericeum.	Per	H	10	65
	<i>Amaranthus graezicans</i> L.	Ann	Th	50	30	<i>Elymus farctus</i> (Viv.) Runem. exMeld	Per	Cr	10	15
	<i>Amaranthus hybridus</i> L.	Ann	Th	55	35	<i>Erodium glaucophyllum</i> (L.) L.Her.	Per	H	30	35
	<i>Amaranthus lividus</i> L.	Ann	Th	45	20	<i>Erodium laciniatum</i> (Cav) Wild.	Ann	Th	30	35
	<i>Ammi visnaga</i> (L.) Lam.	Ann	Th	10	55	<i>Euphorbia peplus</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	15
	<i>Anchusa humilis</i> (Desf.) I. M. Johnst	Ann	Th	20	65	<i>Euphorbia prostrate</i> Aiton.	Ann	Th	30	5
	<i>Anethum graveolens</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	35	<i>Halocnemum strobilaceum</i> (Pall.) M. Bieb.	Per	Ch	60	70
	<i>Arthrocnemum macrostachyum</i> (Moric.) Koch.	Per	Ch	70	85	<i>Heliotropium curassavicum</i> L.	Per	Ch	10	55
	<i>Arundo donax</i> L.	Per	Cr	20	10	<i>Hordeum marinum</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	15
	<i>Asparagus stipularis</i> Forssk.	Per	Cr	10	50	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	10
	<i>Atriplex halimus</i> L.	Per	Ph	40	75	<i>Ifloga spicata</i> (Forssk.) Sch. Bip.	Ann	Th	10	15
	<i>Atriplex nummularia</i> L. ndl.	Per	Ph	10	50	<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> L.	Per	Cr	20	15
	<i>Atriplex portulacoides</i> L.	Per	Ch	40	35	<i>Limbarda crithmoides</i> (L.) Dumort.	Per	Cr	30	50
	<i>Atriplex semibaccata</i> R. Br.	Per	H	20	45	<i>Juncus actus</i> L.	Per	Cr	20	55
	<i>Avena fatua</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	30	<i>Juncus rigidus</i> Desf.	Per.	Cr	20	60
	<i>Bassia indica</i> (Weight) A. J. Scott.	Ann	Th	20	50	<i>Lactuca serriola</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	40
	<i>Brassica rapa</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	-	<i>Launaea capitata</i> (Spreng.) Dandy	Ann	Th	20	45
	<i>Brassica tournifortii</i> Gouam	Ann	Th	20	40	<i>Launaea mucronata</i> (Forssk.) Muchl.	Per	H	20	15
	<i>Bromus scoparius</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	30	<i>Leptochloa fusca</i> (L.) Kunth.	Per	Cr	10	10
	<i>Cakile maritima</i> Scop.	Ann	Th	20	35	<i>Limoniastrum monopetalum</i> L.	Per	Ch	-	20
	<i>Calligonum polygonoides</i> L.	Per	Ph	10	30	<i>Lobularia arabica</i> (Bioss.) Muschl	Ann	Th	20	10
	<i>Capsella bursa-pastoris</i> (L.) Medik	Ann	Th	20	20	<i>Lolium multiflorum</i> Lam.	Ann.	Th	30	15
	<i>Carthamus glaucus</i> M. Bieb.	Ann	Th	30	50	<i>Lolium perenne</i> L.	Per	H	10	35
	<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	35	<i>Lotus arabicus</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	25
	<i>Chenopodium murale</i> L.	Ann	Th	40	35	<i>Lotus glaber</i> Mill.	Per	H	30	35
	<i>Cistanche phelypeae</i> (L) Cout..	Per	par	10	10	<i>Lotus halophilus</i> Bioss.	Ann	Th	10	25
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.	Per	H	10	10	<i>Lycium schweinfurthii</i> Dammer.	Per	Ph	20	35
	<i>Conyza aegyptiaca</i> (L.) Dyand	Ann	Ph	10	10	<i>Malva parviflora</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	35
	<i>Cornulaca monacantha</i> Delile.	Per	Ch	30	35	<i>Medicago hispida</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	25
	<i>Cressa cretica</i> L.	Per	H	30	45	<i>Medicago laciniata</i> (L.) Mill.	Ann	Th	20	15
	<i>Cronopus didymus</i> (L.) Sm.	Ann	Th	30	20	<i>Medicago polymorpha</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	15
	<i>Cutandia dichtoma</i> Batt. Trab.	Ann	Th	30	20	<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.	Per	H	10	10
	<i>Cutandia memphetica</i> (Sperng.) Benth	Ann	Th	10	20	<i>Melilotus indicus</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	25
	<i>Cynanchum acutum</i> L.	Per	H	10	20	<i>Mesembryanthemum crystalinum</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	65
	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers	Per	Cr	20	15	<i>Mesembryanthemum forsskaolii</i> Hochst. and Bioss.	Ann	Th	50	70
	<i>Cyperus capitatus</i> Vand.	Per	Cr	30	20	<i>Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum</i> L.	Ann	Th	40	55
	<i>Cyperus congloneratus</i> Rottb.	Per	Cr	30	40	<i>Molikiopsis ciliata</i> (Forssk) .I.M.Johnst	Per	Ch	20	55
	<i>Cyperus laevigatus</i> L.	Per	Cr	20	20	<i>Neurada procumbens</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	15
	<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	Per	Cr	20	15	<i>Ononis serrata</i> Forssk.	Ann	Th	10	15
	<i>Daucus syrticus</i> Murb.	Ann	Th	20	30	<i>Orobanche arabica</i> L.	Per	Par	10	10
	<i>Denibera retroflexa</i> (Vahl.) Panz..	Ann	Th	10	20	<i>Orobanche cernua</i> Loeflt	Per	Par	20	15
	<i>Deplotaxis harra</i> (Forssk.) Boiss.	Per	H	10	10	<i>Pancratium maritimum</i> L.	Per	Cr	10	65

**Table 2** - The percent cover of the recorded species and the life form spectrum. Life forms: Th=Therophytes, Ch=Chamaephytes, Ph=Phanerophytes, H=Hemicryptophytes, Cr= Cryptophytes, Life span: Ann= Annual, Per =Perennial and Bie = Biennial

Year of records	2017-2018			2002
	Life span	Life form	% cover	% cover
<i>Paronychia arabica</i> (L.) Dc.	Ann	Th	20	15
<i>Peganum harmala</i> L.	Per	Cr	10	20
<i>Phalaris minor</i> Retz	Ann	Th	10	10
<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. Steud.	Per	Cr	30	65
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> L.	Per	H	30	15
<i>Plantago major</i> L.	Per	H	30	10
<i>Plantago notate</i> Lag.	Ann	Th	30	10
<i>Plantago ovate</i> Forssk.	Ann	Th	30	15
<i>Plantago squarrosa</i> Murray	Ann	Th	30	15
<i>Pluchea dioscoroides</i> (L.) DC.	Per	Ph	30	15
<i>Polygonum equisetiforme</i> Sm.	Per	H	30	55
<i>Portulaca oleraceae</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	30
<i>Reichardia tingitana</i> (L) Roth.	Ann	Th	50	10
<i>Retama raetam</i> (Forssk.) Web and Berthel	Per	Th	10	10
<i>Rumex dentatus</i> L.	Ann	Th	20	0
<i>Rumex pictus</i> Forssk.	Ann	Th	60	65
<i>Rumex vesicarius</i> L.	Ann	Th	60	75
<i>Ruppia maritima</i> L.	Per.	H	-	20
<i>Salsola kali</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	45
<i>Schismu s barbatus</i> (L.) Thell.	Ann	Th	10	10
<i>Senecio gluaca</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	15
<i>Senecio vulgaris</i> L.	Ann	Th	30	15

Year of records	2017-2018			2002
	Life span	Life form	% cover	% cover
<i>Setaria verticillata</i> (L) P.Beauv..	Ann	Th	40	15
<i>Setaria viridis</i> (L.) Beauv.	Ann	Th	10	10
<i>Sida alba</i> L.	Bie	Th	10	5
<i>Silene arabica</i> Bioss.	Per	H	20	15
<i>Silene rubella</i> L.	Ann	Th	50	15
<i>Silene succulenta</i> Forssk.	Per	H	10	10
<i>Sisymbrium irio</i> (L.) Gaertn	Ann	Th	20	15
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	Ann	Th	60	20
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i> L.	Ann	Th	60	65
<i>Sphenopus divaricatus</i> (Gouan) Rchb.	Ann	Th	30	15
<i>Suaeda maritima</i> (L.) Dumort.	Ann	Th	10	50
<i>Suaeda pruinosa</i> Lang.	Per	Ch	30	65
<i>Suaeda vera</i> Forssk. J. F. Gmel	Per	Ch	30	65
<i>Tamarix nilotica</i> (Ehrenb.) Buge	Per	Ph	40	80
<i>Thymelaea hirsute</i> (L.) Endl.	Per	Ph	10	50
<i>Trigonella maritima</i> Poir	Ann	Th	10	15
<i>Trigonella stellate</i> Forssk.	Ann	Th	20	10
<i>Typha domingensis</i> L.	Per	Cr	10	40
<i>Urtica urens</i> L.	Ann	Th	60	20
<i>Urospermum picroides</i> (L.) F. W. Schmidt	Ann	Th	30	35
<i>Vicia sativa</i> L.	Ann	Th	10	10
<i>Zygophyllum aegyptium</i> Hosny	Per	Ch	60	75

The floristic composition revealed that the relic flora of the coastal Nile Delta habitats is composed of 130 species related to 92 genera, belonging to 37 families. Among the recorded species 71 annuals, 58 perennials and one species biennial as shown in Table 2. The life form spectra indicated that the majority of the recorded species are therophytes (58.46%), cryptophytes (14.61%) and hemicryptophytes (12.3%) with lesser percentages of phanerophytes and chamaephytes (7.69 % and 6.15%, respectively).

The life form spectrum provides information which may help to assess the response of vegetation to variations in environmental factors (Ayyad and El-Ghareeb, 1982). Raven (1971) designated the Mediterranean climate type as a "therophytes climate" because of the high percentage (> 50% of the total species) of this life form in several Mediterranean floras. In the present study, the therophytes are the most frequent life form, followed by the cryptophytes and hemicryptophytes. The dominance of therophytes over the other life forms seems to be a response to the hot-dry climate,

topographic variation and biotic influence (Heneidy & Bidak, 2001; Galal & Fawzy 2007).

Threats are the direct and indirect causes for habitat disturbance and loss. Based on field surveys, it has been found five types of threats due to the human activities and social development: (1) New buildings (Delta University), new Mansoura and the industrial city of Gamasa; (2) Clearance for agriculture and aquaculture development; (3) Construction of summer resorts of Baltim (industrial/urban growth, coastal development); (4) Disturbance by cars and trampling; (5) Gas station and development on both sides of the International Coastal Road.

Using the satellite images (1997 to 2016) it was observed a decrease of sand dunes and salt marshes surfaces during the last 20 years. The area of the coastal Delta habitats (sand dunes and salt marshes) between Baltim and Gamasa changed from 1897.4 Km<sup>2</sup> in 1990 to 846.5 Km<sup>2</sup> in 2014 with 30% decreases and by 1.9 % changes every year (EL-Bady 2016; Ali and EL-Magd 2016). The area was used for building of resorts,

gas stations, fish farm construction and agriculture which in turn affect the species diversity, presence and distribution through habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation.

As consequence of the degradation, fragmentation and habitat loss many species became threatened, endangered and other became rare. Two species were disappeared from the study area: *Limoniastrum monopetalum* L. belonging to family Plumbaginaceae which is subshrub present in salt affected land and *Ruppia maritima* L. belonging to family Ruppiales which is a salt tolerant fresh water species present in the wet salt marshes and it is an important part of the diet of many species of resident and migratory waterfowls. A project of restoration of wetlands started with the recovery and protection of these species, where the last reporting by Nafea (2002) dated back the 2002. Furthermore, some species are represented by low percentages and others represented by high percentages when confronted with the last record in 2002 by Nafea (2002).

One of the major processes causing degradation in the ecosystems of the Nile Delta is represented by the destruction of plant cover (Shaltout and Ahmed 2012). Many species are exposed to habitat loss due to the construction of summer resorts at Baltim and Gamasa that have consumed large surfaces of natural habitats along the coast. A continuous row of new cities occupies the coastline between these two localities. This has not only led to the complete destruction of the habitats, but has also contributed to the degradation of vast areas of natural habitats around. In addition many species were threatened due to overgrazing by domestic animals (mainly sheep and goats) (Nafea 2005). Further threats are caused by the complete removal of natural vegetation for agriculture development and the settlement of fish farms (Heneidy & Bidak 1998; Ahmed *et al.* 2014).

## References

- Ahmed, A. D., Shaltout, K. H. and Sania, A. K. 2014. Mediterranean Sand Dunes in Egypt: Threatened Habitat and Endangered Flora. *Life Science Journal* 11 (10): 946-956.
- Allen, S.E., Grimshaw, H. M., Parkinson, I.A., Quamby, C. and Roberts, J.D. 1986. *Methods in plant ecology*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn. Moore, P.D. and Chapman, S. B. (Eds.) Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford pp: 411-466.
- Ali, M. E., EL-Magd, E. 2016. Impact of Human interven-

Some important species became very rare (f.i., *Pancratium maritimum*, *Asparagus stipularis*, *Anchusa humilis* and *Ammi visnaga*). These species are very important as they used by local people and by pharmaceutical companies in treatment of many diseases (Nafea 2005). For instance, *Pancratium maritimum* L. which is used in treatment of skin diseases by local people and pharmaceutical company (Nafea 2005). The disturbance of sand dune by reclamation for agriculture and building new gas station and markets have a negative impact on these species and in turn affect the local economy and life for the local peoples.

The damage of the coastal salt marshes and sand dunes for constructing the summer resorts and any other land uses along the Nile Delta coast must be interrupted and a sustainable management and development program is requested to assure the conservation and restoration of the threatened habitats and species.

## Conclusions

The Nile Delta Mediterranean habitats between Baltim and Gamasa are subjected to severe threats and more human intervention which leads to habitats disturbance, loss and habitat fragmentation leading to negative impacts on distribution and diversity of plants. Two important wetland plants were endangered and disappeared from this area and their presence were shifted away the study area (*Limoniastrum monopetalum* L. and *Ruppia maritima* L.) and other important medicinal plants became rare (f.i., *Pancratium maritimum* L. and *Asparagus stipularis* L.). So it is urgent to start with a sustainable management plan for the conservation and recovery of these habitats and vegetation to reduce the threats and disturbances caused by human activity, devoting, in particular, a special protection to the salt marshes and sand dunes habitats.

- tion and coastal process along the Nile Delta Coast Egypt during the past twenty five years. *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research*, (42):1-10.
- Ayyad, M., El-Ghareeb, R. 1982. Salt marsh vegetation of the western Mediterranean desert of Egypt. *Vegetatio* (49): 3-19.
- Ayyad, M., Le Floch, E. 1983. An ecological assessment of renewable resources for rural agricultural development in the western Mediterranean Coastal Region of Egypt. CNRS/CEPE, Montpellier, France.

- Batanouny, K. 1999. The Mediterranean coastal dunes in Egypt: An Endangered landscape. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* (49):3-9.
- Boulos, L. 1999. Flora of Egypt volume 1:419. Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo.
- Boulos, L. 2000. Flora of Egypt volume 2:352 Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo.
- Boulos, L. 2002. Flora of Egypt volume 3 :373. Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo.
- Boulos, L. 2005. Flora of Egypt volume 3:373. Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo.
- Boulos, L. 2009. Flora of Egypt Checklist Revised annotated edition. Al-Hadara Publishing, Cairo. 2009; 410 pp.
- Burgman, M.A., Keith, D., Hopper, D, Wildyatmoko, S.D. and Drill, C. 2007. Threat syndromes and conservation of the Australian flora. *Biological Conservation* 134 (1): 73–82.
- Egyptian Metrological Authority 2017. Climatic Atlas of Egypt.
- El-Bady, M.S., M. 2016. New approach for the occurrence and characteristics of the coastal sand dunes, North of Nile Delta, Egypt. *International Journal of Chem. Tech Research*. 9: 61-72.
- El-Sadek, L.M, and Ayyad, M. 2000. Genetic diversity as a basic component of biodiversity: Case studies in Egypt. In: Nordenstam B, El-Ghazaly G, Kassas N, Laurent T. (eds.), *Plant Systematics for the 21st Century*, Portland Press; 239-250 .
- Galal , T. M., Fawzy, M. 2007. Sand Dune Vegetation in the Coast of the Nile Delta. *World Applied Science Journal* 2(5) : 427-438.
- Heneidy, S.Z., Bidak, L. M. 2001. Multipurpose plant species in Bisha, Asir region, south western Saudi Arabia. *Journal of King Saud University* 13: 11-26.
- Heneidy, S.Z., Bidak, L.M. 1998. Diversity of the wadi vegetation in Matrouh region, Egypt. *Journal of Union of Arab Biologists*; 6 (B): 13-28.
- Jackson, M. L. 1962. Soil chemical analysis. Constable and Company, London. pp:498.
- Nafea, E. M. A. 2002. Eco-Palynological studies on coastal habitats in the Nile Delta. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. Sci. Mans. Univ.137 p.
- Nafea, E. M. A. 2005. On the ecology and sustainable development of the Northern Delta Lakes, Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Sci. Mans. Univ.
- Piper, C.S. 1947. Soil and plant analysis. Inter. Sci. pub. Intc. New York : 416 p
- Raunkiarer, C. 1937. Plant Life forms. Clarendon, Oxford, pp.104.
- Raven, P. 1971. Relationships between Mediterranean floras. In: Davis PH, Harper PC, Hedge IC. (eds.) *Plant life in south west Asia*. Botanical Society of Edinburgh, Edinburgh; 119-134.
- Shaltout K., Al-Sodany, Y. 2002. Phytoecology of Omayed site. Med Wet Coast Project, for Egyptian Environmental Affairs Agency, Cairo. 2002; 89 pp.
- Shaltout, K., El-Hennawy, M., Nafea, E. M. A., Abo-Bakr, S, Ghazaly, O., Eid, E. , Fonda, M. 2009. National Progress towards Targets of the Global Strategy for Plant Conservation. Ministry of State for Environmental Affairs (MSEA), Cairo. 2009.
- Shaltout, K., Ahmed, D. 2012. Ecosystem Services of the Flora of Southern Mediterranean Desert of Egypt. *Ethnobotany Research and Applications* 10: 403-422.